



Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAME	NVENTOR		ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.
09/429,446	10/28/99	GRAHAM		L	SEM4492P0771
_			\neg		EXAMINER
CHRISTENSEN O CONNOR 1420 FIFTH AVENUE SUITE 2800 SEATTLE WA 98101-2347		IM22/1219 ' JOHNSON KINDNESS P	S P	LEADER	, W
				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
				1741	7
Table State				DATE MAILED:	12/19/00

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks

	Application No. Applicant(s)
	09/429,446 Graham et al
Office Action Summary	Examiner Group Art Unit
	William Leader 1741
The MAILING DATE of this communication a	ppears on the cover sheet beneath the correspondence address—
Peri d for Response	
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR RESPONSE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.	E IS SET TO EXPIRE MONTH(S) FROM THE
from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for response specified above is less than thirty (30) - If NO period for response is specified above, such period shall,	CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a response be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTO days, a response within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered time by default, expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. se will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
Status	
☐ Responsive to communication(s) filed on	
☐ This action is FINAL .	
 Since this application is in condition for allowance e accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle 	except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in e, 1935 C.D. 1 1; 453 O.G. 213.
Disp sition of Claims	
Claim(s)	is/are pending in the application.
Of the above claim(s)	is/are withdrawn from consideration.
□ Claim(s)	is/are allowed.
□ Claim(s)	is/are rejected
□ Claim(s)——————	13/4/0 10/00004.
□ Claim(s)	is/are objected to.
□ Claim(s) 1 - 43	
□ Claim(s) ☑ Claim(s) 1 · 43 Application Papers	is/are objected to. are subject to restriction or election requirement.
□ Claim(s) □ Claim(s) □ - 43 Application Papers □ See the attached Notice of Draftsperson's Patent D	is/are objected to. are subject to restriction or election requirement. Prawing Review, PTO-948.
□ Claim(s) □ Claim(s) □ 1 - 43 Application Papers □ See the attached Notice of Draftsperson's Patent D □ The proposed drawing correction, filed on	is/are objected to. are subject to restriction or election requirement. Prawing Review, PTO-948. is approved disapproved.
□ Claim(s) □ Claim(s) □ - 43 Application Papers □ See the attached Notice of Draftsperson's Patent D	is/are objected to. are subject to restriction or election requirement. Prawing Review, PTO-948. is approved disapproved.
□ Claim(s) □ Claim(s) □ 1 - 43 Application Papers □ See the attached Notice of Draftsperson's Patent D □ The proposed drawing correction, filed on □ The drawing(s) filed on is/are	is/are objected to. are subject to restriction or election requirement. Prawing Review, PTO-948. is approved disapproved. objected to by the Examiner.
□ Claim(s) □ Claim(s) □ 1 - 43 Application Papers □ See the attached Notice of Draftsperson's Patent D □ The proposed drawing correction, filed on □ The drawing(s) filed on is/are □ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.	is/are objected to. are subject to restriction or election requirement. Prawing Review, PTO-948. is approved disapproved. objected to by the Examiner.
□ Claim(s) □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □	is/are objected to. are subject to restriction or election requirement. Prawing Review, PTO-948. is approved disapproved. objected to by the Examiner. ner. prity under 35 U.S.C. § 11 9(a)-(d). ies of the priority documents have been
□ Claim(s) □ - 43 Application Papers □ See the attached Notice of Draftsperson's Patent D □ The proposed drawing correction, filed on □ is/are □ The drawing(s) filed on □ is/are □ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. □ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Exami Pri rity under 35 U.S.C. § 119 (a)-(d) □ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign pricular or the CERTIFIED copil received. □ received in Application No. (Series Code/Serial No.)	is/are objected to. are subject to restriction or election requirement. Prawing Review, PTO-948. is approved disapproved. objected to by the Examiner. ner. prity under 35 U.S.C. § 11 9(a)-(d). ies of the priority documents have been Number) he International Bureau (PCT Rule 1 7.2(a)).
□ Claim(s) □ - 43 Application Papers □ See the attached Notice of Draftsperson's Patent D □ The proposed drawing correction, filed on □ is/are □ The drawing(s) filed on □ is/are □ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. □ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Exami Pri rity under 35 U.S.C. § 119 (a)-(d) □ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign price of the CERTIFIED coperation of the CERTIFIED coperation of the CERTIFIED coperation of the coperatio	is/are objected to. are subject to restriction or election requirement. Prawing Review, PTO-948. is approved disapproved. objected to by the Examiner. ner. prity under 35 U.S.C. § 11 9(a)-(d). ies of the priority documents have been Number) he International Bureau (PCT Rule 1 7.2(a)).
□ Claim(s) □ - 43 Application Papers □ See the attached Notice of Draftsperson's Patent D □ The proposed drawing correction, filed on □ is/are □ The drawing(s) filed on □ is/are □ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. □ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Exami Pri rity under 35 U.S.C. § 119 (a)-(d) □ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign price □ All □ Some* □ None of the CERTIFIED cop □ received. □ received in Application No. (Series Code/Serial I □ received in this national stage application from the Certified copies not received: □ *Certified	is/are objected to. are subject to restriction or election requirement. Prawing Review, PTO-948. is approved disapproved. objected to by the Examiner. ner. prity under 35 U.S.C. § 11 9(a)-(d). ies of the priority documents have been Number) he International Bureau (PCT Rule 1 7.2(a)).
□ Claim(s) □ - 43 Application Papers □ See the attached Notice of Draftsperson's Patent D □ The proposed drawing correction, filed on □ is/are □ The drawing(s) filed on □ is/are □ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. □ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Exami Pri rity under 35 U.S.C. § 119 (a)-(d) □ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priorum of the CERTIFIED copum received. □ received in Application No. (Series Code/Serial In received in this national stage application from the Certified copies not received: □ Attachment(s)	is/are objected to. are subject to restriction or election requirement. Prawing Review, PTO-948. is approved disapproved. objected to by the Examiner. ner. prity under 35 U.S.C. § 11 9(a)-(d). ies of the priority documents have been Number) he International Bureau (PCT Rule 1 7.2(a)).

Serial Number: 09/429,446

Art Unit: 1741

Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:

 Claims 1-4 and 35-43, drawn to electroplating apparatus, classified in class 204, subclass 198.

- II. Claims 5-7, drawn to a contact member, classified in class 204, subclass 297.01
- III. Claims 8-13, drawn to electroplating apparatus, classified in class 204, subclass 240.
- IV. Claim 14, drawn to electroplating apparatus, classified in class 204, subclass 230.2.
- V. Claims 15-34, drawn to an electroplating method, classified in class205, subclass 102.

The inventions are distinct, each from the other because:

Inventions I, II, III, and IV are related as subcombinations disclosed as usable together in a single combination. The subcombinations are distinct from each other if they are shown to be separately usable. In the instant case, each invention has separate utility as an independent electroplating apparatus. The features of one subcombination are not required for any of the other subcombinations. See MPEP § 806.05(d).

Inventions I-IV and V are related as process and apparatus for its practice.

Serial Number: 09/429,446

Art Unit: 1741

The inventions are distinct if it can be shown that either: (1) the process as claimed can be practiced by another materially different apparatus or by hand, or (2) the apparatus as claimed can be used to practice another and materially different process. (MPEP § 806.05(e)). In this case the apparatus of inventions I-IV can be used to perform processes other than that of invention V. The process of invention V requires the use of a low current density for a first period of time and the application of a higher current density for a second period of time. The apparatus of inventions I-IV could be used to carry out constant current processes in which the same current is used for the entire process.

Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art as shown by their different classification, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143).

A telephone call was made to Marcia Kelbon on December 14, 2000, to request an oral election to the above restriction requirement, but did not result in an election being made.

Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if Serial Number: 09/429,446 -4-

Art Unit: 1741

one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a petition under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to William Leader, whose telephone number is (703) 308-2530. The examiner can normally be reached Mondays-Fridays from 7:30 AM to 4:00 PM eastern time.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Kathryn Gorgos can be reached at (703) 308-3328. The fax phone number for *official* after final faxes is (703) 305-3599. The fax phone number for all other *official* faxes is (703) 305-7718. Unofficial communications to the Examiner should be faxed to (703) 305-7719.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0661.

William Leader:wtl December 14, 2000

Supervisory Patent Examiner
Technology Center 1700